### CaSS | Forensic and Scientific Services

# Project 22. A Modified DNA IQ™ Method for Off-Deck Lysis Prior to Performing Automated DNA Extraction

Iman Muharam, Vojtech Hlinka, Breanna Gallagher, Generosa Lundie, Cecilia lannuzzi, Thomas Nurthen, Allan McNevin, Vanessa lentile

Automation/LIMS Implementation Project, DNA Analysis FSS (February 2008)

### 1. Abstract

Some forensic sample types that were submitted for DNA extraction using the current inhouse automated DNA IQ™ protocol could not be efficiently processed due to varying sample sizes, substrate material type and the requirement for retaining supernatant for presumptive screening. An off-deck lysis protocol was investigated as an option to automated lysis on the MultiPROBE® II PLUS HT EX platform, and includes the option to retain supernatant for presumptive testing (see Project 21).

Further testing was performed to investigate the amount of time that samples can be stored in Extraction Buffer after off-deck lysis, prior to presenting the sample lysate to the MultiPROBE® II PLUS HT EX platforms. A comparison of off-deck lysis performed on the Eppendorf Thermomixer Comfort and Ratek hot blocks was also performed.

We found that samples where off-deck lysis was performed could be stored in the fridge for up to 4 days, or frozen, prior to automated DNA IQ™ extraction. Performing off-deck lysis on the hot blocks produced results that were comparable to samples that were incubated on the Thermomixer.

#### 2. Aim

- To test the effect of storage in Extraction Buffer, in the fridge or freezer, for samples where off-deck lysis has been performed.
- To investigate any differences between performing off-deck lysis on the Eppendorf Thermomixer Comfort or Ratek hot blocks.

#### 3. Equipment and Materials

- DNA IQ™ System (Promega Corp., Madison, WI, USA)
- DNA IQ™ Spin Baskets (Promega Corp., Madison, WI, USA)
- TNE buffer (10mM Tris, 100mM NaCl, 1mM EDTA, pH 8.0)
- Proteinase K (20ng/µL)
- 20% w/v SDS
- Thermomixer Comfort (Eppendorf)
- Hot blocks (Ratek)
- Eppendorf 5415C centrifuge
- Rayon swabs (Copan)
- Buccal cell suspension (donor CJA), collected as described previously
- Whole blood (donor VKI), collected as described previously



Page 1 of 8

# CaSS | Forensic and Scientific Services

off the swab shafts and placed in sterile 1.5mL tubes. For blood samples, 10µL of donor whole blood was spotted on to small cotton swabs and 30µL was spotted on to rayon swabs. For cell samples, 10µL of donor cell suspension was spotted on to rayon swabs. Samples were dried on a hot block (Ratek) at 56°C for 2 hours.

#### 4.2.2. Off-deck lysis protocol

Samples that were created for testing were divided equally into 3 sets, and processed using a similar off-deck lysis protocol, except for minor differences where a hot block (Ratek) or Thermomixer Comfort (Eppendorf) was used, and which vortexing routine was performed (see Table 2). 500µL of Extraction Buffer was added to each tube. Each tube was vortexed, before incubating at 37°C for 45 minutes either on a hot block (Ratek) or Thermomixer Comfort (Eppendorf) set at 1000 rpm. The sample substrate material was transferred to a DNA IQ™ Spin Basket and centrifuged for 2 minutes at room temperature at maximum speed (15800g). The centrifuged lysate was combined with the lysate in the original 1.5mL tube. The samples were then incubated at 65°C for 10 minutes either on a hot block (Ratek) or Thermomixer Comfort (Eppendorf) at 1100 rpm. Samples were stored in the freezer until automated DNA IQ™ extraction was performed.

Table 2. Incubation protocols for the 3 sets of samples.

Sample Set Number	Incubation protocol
Set 1	On hot block; vortex 5 sec before and 5 sec after incubation.
Set 2	On hot block; vortex 5 sec before and after incubation, and 5 sec during incubation.
Set 3	On Thermomixer, shaking at 1100 rpm.

#### 4.3 Automated DNA IQ™ protocol

Sample lysates from the fridge or freezer were allowed to thaw or come to room temperature, then added into a Slicprep™ 96 Device (without basket) using the STORstar instrument. Automated DNA IQ™ was then performed (without the automated addition of Extraction Buffer).

#### 4.4 DNA quantitation

All DNA extracts were quantified using the Quantifiler™ Human DNA Quantitation kit (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) as per QIS 19977. Reaction setup was performed on the MultiPROBE® II PLUS HT EX (PerkinElmer) pre-PCR platform.

#### 4.5 PCR amplification

DNA extracts were amplified using the AmpFtSTR® Profiler Plus® kit (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) as per QIS 19976. Reaction setup was performed on the MultiPROBE® II PLUS HT EX (PerkinElmer) pre-PCR platform.



### Forensic and Scientific Services

The combined mean quantitation results for blood QC samples, blood samples spiked with urea and cell samples that were stored in Extraction Buffer across four days of storage (in fridge or freezer) were 1.2247, 1.2525 and 0.2453ng/µL respectively. On average, the absolute difference in individual mean results for each set was only 0.0978ng/µL (between 5.7-19.9%) away from the combined mean quantitation result. This indicates little variation in quantitation results between samples that had remained in storage for 1, 2, 3 or 4 days, either in the fridge or freezer.

DNA profiling results (Table 4) also do not indicate any variation between results for samples that had remained in storage over varying lengths of time. All samples generated full profile (18/18) results. Samples spiked with urea to mimic inhibition generated similar results to un-spiked samples, indicating no change in the ability of DNA IQ™ to remove inhibitors, but also no damage to the lysate material while it remained in storage.

Table 4. Number of reportable alleles (out of 18) for samples that were stored for varying lengths of time in Extraction Buffer, prior to automated DNA IQ™ extraction

Samples	Number of days in storage				
	1 (Fridge)	2 (Fridge)	3 (Fridge)	4 (Fridge)	4 (Frozen)
NegCtl	0	0	0	0	0
Blood QC	18	18	18	18	18 <sup>†</sup>
Blood QC	18	18	18	18	18
Blood QC	18 <sup>†</sup>	18 <sup>‡</sup>	18	18	18
Blood QC	18	18 <sup>¥</sup>	18	18	18
Blood QC	18	18	18	18 <sup>†</sup>	18
Blood Urea	18	18	18	18	18
Blood Urea	18	18	18	18	18
Blood Urea	18	18	18 <sup>†</sup>	18 <sup>†</sup>	18
Blood Urea	18	18	18	18	18
Blood Urea	18 <sup>†</sup>	18	18	18	18
Cells	18	18	18	18	18
Cells	18	18	18 <sup>¥</sup>	18	18
Cells	4 18	NO.	18	18	18
Cells	18	48	18	18 <sup>†</sup>	18
Cells	18	18 <sup>†</sup>	18	18	18

Allelic imbalance greater than 65% at one locus

Allelic imbalance less than 64.9% but greater than 60% at one locus
Allelic imbalance less than 59.9% at one or more loci

\*PP and Al with ULP due to bad injection

When compared to results for extraction positive controls (QC blood swabs) that were extracted since January 2008 as part of routine laboratory processes, the positive controls that were included in these series of experiments generated higher quantitation values but similar DNA profile results (Table 5). The off-deck positive controls produced an average DNA concentration of 1.22ng/µL (SD 0.35), compared to 0.27ng/µL (SD 0.12) for routine QC blood swabs, i.e. the concentration of off-deck controls was over 4-fold greater than controls extracted using the current protocol. Positive controls that were extracted using the off-deck method displayed more allelic imbalance compared to routine positive controls, i.e. 20% (5/25) compared to 9% (3/34). Four out of the five occurrences of allelic imbalance in off-deck controls were one locus events with a peak height ratio greater than 60%, and therefore pass the in-house acceptance criteria for extraction positive controls.

Queensland Government

Page 5 of 8

# CaSS | Forensic and Scientific Services

Quantitation results indicate that all three sets generated similar results, except Set 2 where the mean results were generally lower (Table 6). The vortexing of samples during incubation may have resulted in temperature variations that affected lysis efficiency. Vortexing before and after incubation on a hot block produced similar results to performing lysis incubation on the Thermomixer. For buccal cell samples, however, the Thermomixer samples (Set 3) produced more undetermined results (0ng/µL) compared to the hot block samples (Set 1), but most results were generally low and close to the validated LOD of 0.00426ng/µL and therefore indistinguishable from the background (Hlinka et al., 2006).

For blood samples, either on cotton or rayon swabs, all sample sets produced full (18/18) DNA profiles (data not shown). The results for cell samples were slightly varied and were mostly non-reportable or NSD, as suggested by the low DNA quantitation results (Table 6). Out of 180 possible alleles (excluding Amelogenin) for buccal cell samples, Set 1 samples yielded 12 allele calls and 52 non-reportable alleles, compared to Set 3 that produced 0 allele calls and 14 non-reportable alleles (Table 7). In comparison, Set 2 samples generated only 1 allele call and 39 reportable alleles (Table 7).

Table 7. Number of reportable and non-reportable alleles generated for samples incubated on a hot block and Thermomixer.

	Alleles	NR's
Set 1 (Hot block, vortex		
before and after)	12	52
Set 2 (Hot block, vortex	SALAR AND SALAR	Mary Market
before, after and during)	4	39
Set 3 (Thermomixer, 1100	The Property of	THE REAL PROPERTY.
rpm)	0	14

Results indicate that performing off-deck lysis on a hot block does not generate results that are worse than samples that were incubated on the Thermomixer. Performing a 5 second vortex before and after incubation appears to be the best method for mixing samples that are incubated on the hot block.

#### 6. Summary and Recommendations

Samples that were lysed off-deck were able to be stored either in the fridge or freezer for up to 4 days without affecting the possibility of obtaining DNA profiles, suggesting stability of the lysate in Extraction Buffer containing inactivated Proteinase K. Furthermore, off-deck lysis was able to be performed using either the Ratek hot blocks or the Eppendorf Thermomixer Comfort without producing significant variation in results.

#### We recommend:

- Off-deck lysis using Extraction Buffer can be performed on either a hot block or a Thermomixer, prior to automated DNA !Q™ extraction (without the automated addition of Extraction Buffer). If performed on a hot block, vortexing the sample before and after incubation for 5 seconds each is an adequate substitute for continuous shaking.
- Samples that have had off-deck lysis performed can be stored either in the fridge or freezer for up to 4 days.

